Previous references. 1896-97
~~490~~.
(Courts)

File No. 82 of 1897

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later references.

p. 21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1~8.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Reduction of the Contingent allowance of the Chief Court for Rs 2500 to Rs 2000/=

11756/1496

6507/261

30-6-97.

From

The Registrar of Chief Court.

Forwards a statement showing the Contingent Charges incurred on account of the I. C. of Prs during the last 5 years when it was attached to the Chief Court and states that no reduction can be possibly made out of the sum provided in the budget for the Contingent Charges of the Chief Court.

G. R. S. 58

543
J66

13-7-97

To

The Registrar of Chief Court.

Requests to furnish the Govt with a statement showing how much of the annual budget allowance for Contingencies has been actually expended by the Court from the year 1884 and also states that the expenses regarding the law-books and the yearly purchase of law-reports may be shown separately in the statement.

3. 2102 J343 — 1967/47 — 2-9-97.

From the Registrar of Ch: Court.

-furnishes the above information called for.

4. 4014 J466 — 25-9-97.

To the Registrar of Ch: Ct.

Requests to intimate the Nos and dates of the Govt orders under which the amount allotted for Contingencies was raised from Rs 1500 to Rs 2000 in 1891-92 and from Rs 2000 to Rs 2500/= in 92-93.

5. 4167 J646 — 3070/81 — 12-11-97.

From the Registrar of Ch: Court.

Intimates the above request called for in Sl 4.

6. 61 D.C — 24-12-97.

To the Registrar of Ch: Ct.

Requests the favour of the question of effecting a reduction in the Contingent allowance of the Court, in order to meet the additional Cost referred to, being considered.

7 6235 / J 947 — 4161 / 121 — 19-1-98.

From

The Registrar of Chief Court.

States that the Chief Judge considers that ordinarily a Contingent allowance of Rs 2000/- may be sufficient to meet the expenses of the Chief Court and that special sanction of Govt will be applied for to meet increased expenditure.

8. 9048 / J 1020 — 3-2-98

To

The Registrar of Ch: Court.
The Comptroller
The I. G. of Prs

Reduces the Contingent allowance of the Chief Court from Rs 2500 to Rs 2000/-

Indexed.
K. Rangaswami.

Communicated

(27)

Memo.

Subject. Proposal to reduce the allowance & the Chief Constable under Contingencies.

Pro: No 12937-39
/ 1483
d 4-6-97 (Ser. 19)

The present Inspector General of Prisons having asked for a grant of Rs 350 to meet the contingent expenses of his Office, provision therefor was ordered to be made in the budgets of 1897-98 & succeeding years. In doing this, the hope was expressed that a corresponding reduction could be made in the contingent allowance of the Chief Constable.

Letter No 6507
261 (Ser. 22)
d 30-6-97

With reference to the above, the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarded a statement of sums expended on account of the Jail establishment and observed that the annual expenditure on

in that account was little or nothing, that almost the whole amount allotted was appropriated for the expenses of the Chief Court and that therefore no reduction could possibly be made out of the sum provided in the budget for the contingent charges of the Chief Court.

Thereupon the Registrar was asked to furnish a statement showing how much of the annual Budget allowance for contingencies had been actually expended by the Court from the year 1884.

The Registrar in furnishing the statement (appended hereto) observed that during the last 3 years almost the whole grant provided in the Budget had been appropriated for expenses and that no reduction

Ser. (2

Letter No. 1967/47 of 2nd Sept/97
Ser. (24)

could possibly be made out of the Budget Grant.

As the above statement showed that the contingent allowance of the Chief Court was raised —

(1) in 1884-85 from Rs 1200 to Rs 1500

(2) in 1891-92 from Rs 1500 to Rs 2000

and (3) in 1892-93 from Rs 2000 to Rs 2500

authority for the several increase was asked for.

As regards (1) the Registrar refers to Govt Pro: No 5791-2/120 of 22-1-188 and to Budgets of following year

The Order quoted was, however, no authority for increasing the annual Budget Grant from Rs 1200 to Rs 1500. The Chief Judge in his letter of 16th January 1885 stated that a balance of Rs 104 only was available from the allotment sanctioned for the year 1884-85 and asked for sanction to utilize Rs 300 out of the amount granted under "Travelling Allowance to Officers and Establishments

to meet the contingent charges for the remaining months of that year. This proposal was sanctioned in the Order referred to. The Statement made by the Registrar that the Government in the Order referred to "raised the Grant from ~~Rs~~ 1200 to ~~Rs~~ 1500" does not appear to be correct. The appended Statement shows that in from 1885-86 up to 1890-91 both inclusive, the provision made in the Budget Estimate was ~~Rs~~ 1500; but in none of these years did the expenditure ~~exceed the sanctioned~~ grant of ~~Rs~~ 1200, the expenditure varying from ~~Rs~~ 688. to ~~Rs~~ 1185. So that the omission to obtain special sanction (apart from the provision in the Budget Estimates) to the Contingent Allowance being raised from Rs 1200 to ~~Rs~~ 1500 was immaterial. No application for such sanction was made, apparently because

there

there was no need to exceed the sanctioned limit of Rs 1200.

As regards (2), the Registrar says—"In the following year (1885-86) an entry of Rs 2000 was made against contingencies in the Budget Estimate sent to the Comptroller, making provision for payment of batta to witnesses in Session cases which were then tried by this Court. This entry of increased allotment of Rs 2000 was continued in the several Budget Estimates forwarded to the Comptroller up to 1891-92".

This statement is, however, not quite consistent with what is shown in the appended statement, according to which the Budget provision of Rs 2000 was made in 1891-92 only, the provision for 1885-86 to 1890-91 being Rs 1500. In 1891-92 and succeeding years

the sanctioned limit of Rs 1200 was exceeded, the expenditure ranging from Rs 1599 to Rs 2476.

As regards (3), the Registrar says — "In 1892-93, the allotment was raised to Rs 2500 for supplying Indian Law Reports and Indian Jurist to the Lower Courts and for English Law Reports for the use of this Court. Vide Correspondence ending with this Office No. 5337/142 of 13th November 1891 to the Chief Secretary. Accordingly this provision of Rs 2500 was made in the Budget Estimate sent to the Comptroller for 1892 93 and has been continued up to date."

The correspondence referred to does not, however, contain any authority for increasing the grant to Rs 2,500.

Prior to 1891, the Revenue Office used to subscribe for Indian Law Reports (for the use of the Chief Court, Deputy Commissioners, ~~District~~ and Subordinate Judges and Government Advocate) and for Copies of English Law Reports and Indian Appeals for the use of the Chief Court, and distribute them.



In February 1891, the Registrar was authorized to subscribe for and obtain the afore-said copies of Law Reports, "defraying the cost out of the provision made in the Chief Court Budget under the head of "Contingencies". The Registrar in his letter of the 3d Feb. 1891 stated that if the Budget provision under Contingencies was found insufficient to meet this new charge, application would be made for sanction for the contingent allowance

being increased by
Rs 456-8-0. No such
application was ~~made~~
however made. On
the contrary, the Registrar
proposed in his letter
dated 13th November 1891 that
District Judges, District
Magistrates and Government
Advocate should pay for
the Law Reports supplied
to them out of their con-
-tingent allowances, the
Registrar adding that
otherwise it would be
necessary to increase
the budget provision of
the Chief Court under-
contingencies by about
Rs 500. In the same
letter the Registrar pro-
-posed that Munsiffs
and Sub-Judges should
likewise be supplied with
Copies of Indian Law
Reports the cost being
met out of the contin-
-gent allowances of those
Courts

Courts. Government in their Proceedings of "

No. 10623-4/114 of 31-12-91 sanctioned the above-mentioned two proposals, viz. (1) District Judges, District Magistrates, and Government Advocates being required to pay for the Law Reports supplied to them out of their contingent Allowances and (2) Munsiffs and Sub-Judges being supplied with such Reports paying for them from their contingent grants.

The Registrar does not refer to this Order of Government, under which the Chief Court is relieved of the cost of Law Reports supplied to any of the Lower Courts, but stopping with his letter of the 13th November 8

says that in 1892-93, the allotment was raised to Rs 2500 for supplying Indian Law Reports and Indian Jurist to the Lower Courts and for English Law Reports for the use of the Chief Court.

Referring to the Statement appended, it will be seen that the amounts spent in 1895-96 and 1896-97 for purchase of Law Reports were Rs 149-12-0 and Rs 213-3-0 respectively. If the Chief Court paid for the Law Reports supplied to Lower Courts, the cost would exceed Rs 500, the price of a Copy of Law Reports being Rs 22-8-0. It is therefore probable that the Chief Court pays only for the Law Reports* it gets for its use.

Thus, though the need for paying bata to

(1). English Law Reports, cost Rs 80 in 1891.
(2) Indian Appeals, cost Rs 16 in 1891
(3) Indian Law Reports, 4 copies cost Rs 90
(4) Mysore Law Reports,

witnesses ceased long ago and though the Lower Courts pay for their own Law Reports, yet the expenditure of the Court now exceeds what used to be incurred when the Court had to meet those charges. One cause for the increase is that the Court has been making ~~Valuable~~ considerable addition to its Law Library, the amount spent on this account in 1895–96 being ~~Rs~~ 930 and in 1896–97 ~~Rs~~ 410. But the increase mainly has been under the head "Ordinary Contingencies". The amount spent under this head in 1896–97 (Rs 1852-13-7) being nearly double that spent in 1892–93 and exceeding that spent in 1895–96 by nearly Rs 500, though being equal nearly to what was spent in 1894–95. The reason for such increase and

17

fluctuations in the cost of Ordinary Contingencies not known.

The question for consideration is whether under these circumstances, there should not be a reduction in the Contingent allowance of the Chief Court as suggested in Pro. 12937-39 / J. 1483

14-6-97.

Submit for orders.

23/11/97

In the Chief Court there is no Treasurer to keep the Contingencies that the Chief Court alone

Ld Ch Offy Decree.

After all the reduction proposed is only Rs 350-
The Budget Sanction was
empowered authority for
the Chief Court to incur
the expenditure in question
the various points noted
in this Petn cannot be my
humble opinion help
the decision of the
question before Govt—
Until the Chief Court
say that no reduction
is feasible I would
beg the Offy Decree
to reconsider his order
I am sorry I [redacted] to trouble
about this today—
he & tell Mukhi that
reference to the Chief
Court is desirable,
hope he will allow
this to lie over till
the return of the
Offr Decree—

I have marked
to refer Board 23-12-07 the
the question of reduction
for consideration

J 1496

1896/97

From,
The Registrar of the
Chief Court Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

(22)

Dated 30 June/97
Recd 1st July/97
2 — " — 6507 In. 1
N 261

Forwards a statement shewing the contingent charges incurred on account of the Insp. Gen. of Prisons during the past 5 years when it was attached to the Chief Court and that no reduction can possibly be made out of the sum provided in the Budget for the contingent charges of the Chief Court—

simultaneously a
reduction of Contingent
Charges can actually
proposed by the Chief
in connection with
the proposed removal
to Civil Department
of the Offices

Put up before C 5/7/97

6/7/97

6/7/97

J.F. No. 327 of 1892-93 is submitted. Please see Serial Nos. 11 and 12 therein. DRB 7-7-97

See orders on separate slips calling for ~~Progress~~ Statistics from 1884. Subsp drafts according DRB 7.7.97

Encl
2.7.97
Refers put up. DRB
Subs to Secy 2/7/97
The Chief Court do not consider
a reduction of their contingencies which
may therefore be left alone. The
contingencies now asked for by the Insp. Genl of Prisons
are apparently due to the constitution of a separate
Office. I think so too. Sd/ 3/7/97
Ag 7.7.97 Sd/ 4/7/97

No 6507 Genl
261

Bangalore 30th June 1897.

22

SECTION Court
FILE No. Of 96-97
SERIAL No. 1

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Proceedings of Govern-
-ment No: 12937-39/1483 dated 4th Instant,
directing that a provision of Rs 350 be
made for the Contingencies of the Office of
the Inspector General of Prisons, and
observing that it is hoped that a corres-
-ponding reduction is feasible in the
Contingent allowance of the Chief Court
I am directed to forward a statement
showing the Contingent charges incurred
on account of the establishment of the
Inspector General of Prisons during the
past 5 years, when it was attached

to

to the Chief Court, from which it will be seen that the annual expenditure on account of the Jail Establishment was little or nothing, and that almost the whole amount allotted was appropriated for the expenses of the Chief Court, and that therefore, no reduction can possibly be made out of the sum provided in the Budget for the Contingent charges of the Chief Court.

I have the honorable,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

Registrar

Registrar

F/6

Statement shewing charges incurred on account of Jail Contingencies in the Chief Court of Mysore during the years 1892, 93, 94, 95, 96 and up to date of transfer of the Jail Establishment to Dr Benson's Office in 1897.

Month and Date	Description of charges.	Amount		Total amount		Remarks.
	In 1892 — Nil —					
	In 1893.					
December 1893	Railway charge for a Parcel containing Execution Rope	1	4 "			
	Fee permitting Cost of Execution Rope including Money order Commission	2	14 "	4	2 "	
	In 1894.					
February 1894	For a copy of Madras Jail Code	5	4 "			
	Money Order Commission for remitting the Cost of do	"	4 "	5	8 "	
	In 1895					
January 1895	For extra guard of Prisoners employed in Jail		14 5			
August 1895	For repairing a table & for fitting a brass			2	6	

	hasp to an Almyra	1	8		
	In 1896.				
March 1896	Parcel charge for returning samples of clothing received from Coimbatore Jail	1	5		
	For remitting to Coimbatore Jail Superintendent by Post Office Money Order being Railway charge paid by him for a parcel sent to this Office.	4	14	4	1
December 1896	For a copy of Jail Manual	2			
	In 1897. Up to date of transfer of Jail Establishment to Dr. Benson's Office				
	Paid for removing Tables and Almyras from the Chief Court to Dr. Bensons Office	4			

Chief Court of Mysore
Bangalore
30th June 1897

Mysore Astronomer
Registrar.

(23)

SECTION Court-

FILE NO. 96-97

SERIAL NO. 2

To

The Registrar.
Chief Court.

Date: 13-7-97.

No: 543/66.

Sir, With reference to your ~~His~~ letter No: 6507/261 dated 30th June 1897 on the subject of ~~stating that~~ the proposed ~~is~~ reduction ~~can be made~~ budget provision ~~out~~ of the ~~sum provided for~~ in the Budget for the Contingent charges of the Chief Court, I am directed to

~~With reference to the~~ above, ~~has the honor to~~ request that ~~he~~ you will be so good as to furnish ~~to~~ this/the with office a statement showing how much of the annual Budget allowance

for Contingencies has been actually Expended by the Court from the year 1884.

2. I am to request further that ~~the~~ ~~The Statement should~~ ~~show the particulars~~ the Expenses incurred specially for replenishing the Library with a good Collection of Law Books and the yearly purchase of the Law Reports and the ordinary contingent expenses, ~~separately~~ may be shown in the Statement separately

By order.

11-7-97

Secy.

—

9/7/97

1897 98 No 353

OFFICE OF TH
2 to 24
6 SEP 1897
WAR OF MYSO

From,
The Registrar of the
High Court of
Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

(24)

Dated 2nd Sept/97
Despd 4th Sept/97
by
No 1967 Instl
No 47

Forwards statement
showing how much of
the Annual Budget
allowance for Contingencies
has been expended for
replenishing the Library
with law books &c and
states that no Reduction
can possibly be made
out of the Budget grant—

7197

Even sum
I left the H
My impression is that
the admn grant for contingencies
was of Rs 1200 from
Hmm rose to 1500
& 2000 & 2500 retaining
& permit in the pur-
chase of law books
in 2 or 3 years so as
to complete the Library—
I feel sorry the
reasons for the increase
have put up the admn
from time to time stopped
any the allowance for
1200 to 1500
1500 to 2000 &
2000 to 2500.
or ask the
Registrar
the actual
expenses.
The office ought to be
able to put up the orders.
Have these been please

Put up for orders
13/9

13/9/97

Please
see repeated
below

8/9/97

Refres put up
8/9/97

Subd to the Secretary 11/9/97

Sealed & returned
Hard Copy thing is now sealed.
11-9-97
Yes and for
the past 3 years—

No. 1967 Encl 1
47

Bangalore 2nd September 1897.

(24)

From

The Court

The Registrar of the

FILE No. 96-97

Chief Court of Mysore.

SERIAL No. 3

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 543 J. 66 dated 13th July 1897, I am directed to forward herewith for the information of Government, a Statement showing how much of the Annual Budget allowance for contingencies has been actually expended by the Chief Court on account of ordinary contingencies for replenishing the Library with Law books, and for purchase of Law Reports, and Savings effected to Government at the end of each year from 1884 to 30th June 1897.

Yours.

2. From the Statement it will be seen that, during the past three years, almost the whole grant provided in the Budget for the contingent charges of the Chief Court has been appropriated for expenses, and that no reduction can possibly be made out of the Budget grant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
A. Royal Taylor & Brown
Registrar.

Statement Showing how much of the Annual Budget Allowance for contingencies has been actually expended by the Chief Court from the year 1884 to 30th June 189;

year	Amount allotted for Contingencies	Amount incurred on account of Ordinary Contingencies	Expenses incurred for replenishing the Library with Law Books	Yearly purchase of Law Reports	Savings to Government at the end of the year	Remarks
1884-85	1200 " " / * 300 " "	1031 12 8	239 4 -	- - -	228 15 4	* Allotment was raised from Rs 1200 to Rs: 1500/ in January 188 Vide Letter from Secretary to the Dewan No: 5791-2/120 dated 22nd January 1885.
1885-86	1,500 " "	1,114 7 -	24 14 -	46 8 -	314 3 "	1185-13
1886-87	1,500 " "	953 6 2	17 1 -	" " -	729 8 10	770-7-2
1887-88	1,500 " "	1,073 3 11	22 14 -	41 11 -	362 3 1	1137-12-11
1888-89	1,500 " "	789 11 5	21 8 "	33 " "	459 12 7	1040-3-5
1889-90	1500 " "	1002 13 8	61 10 "	52 2 -	383 1 4	1116-11-8

1890-91	1,500	..	..	604	14	..	35	1	..	48	7	..	811	10	..	688-6-0
1891-92	2,000	..	..	1242	5	1	158	15	..	348	10	6	250	1	5	1749-14-7
1892-93	2,500	..	..	922	10	9	221	4	..	455	4	2	900	13	1	1599-2-11
1893-94	2,500	..	..	1469	5	4	287	11	..	311	12	3	431	3	5	2068-12-7
1894-95	2,500	..	..	1822	9	1	123	2	..	350	..	..	204	4	11	2295-11-1
1895-96	2,500	..	..	1349	9	..	930	2	..	149	12	..	70	9	..	2429-7-0
1896-97	2,500	..	..	1852	13	7	410	9	..	213	3	..	23	6	5	2476-9-7

Bangalore
2nd September 1897

[signature]
for Registrar

10

189

(25)

From

The ~~General~~ Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

SECTION. Court

FILE No. 96-97

SERIAL No. 4

To The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Dated 25th September 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 4014

J No. 466

Enclosure No.

With letter No. 1967 dy. 2nd ~~September 97~~ instant forwarding ~~submitting~~ a Statement showing how much of the annual Budget allotment for contingencies in the Chief Court from 1884-85 to 1896-97 ~~has been~~ was actually expended ~~by~~ the Chief Court towards replenishing the library with Law Books and the purchase of Law Reports and what Savings reported to Govt at the end of each year from 1884 to 30th June 97

With refce to the above,
has the honr to request that
the Registrar will be good
enough to intimate to
~~Office report to Audit~~ the
Nos and dates of the
Govt orders under which
the amount allotted for
Contingencies was raised
from Rs ~~1200 to 1800~~, 1500 to
2000 in 1891-92 and from 2000 to 2500 in 1892-93.

"B. 6"

8/Aug/97
for Secretary

1897-98 J646

4162
15-11-97

(26)

From

The Registrar of
the Chief Court of
Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Dated 12th Nov/97

Rec'd 13th —

Read - 15th

No. 3070/81

Intimates the Nos and
dates of Govt Orders
under which the amount
allotted for contingencies
was raised in 1891-92
and 1892-93.

90/11 J 18/11/97

I Place for inspection
Subsy's correct receipt
D - 20/11
Subsy with a memo -
20/11

3070/81

Bangalore 12th November 1897.

SECTION Court

FILE No. of 96-97 (26)

From

The Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no. 4014/8466 dated 25th × SNo. 25 September 1897, I am directed to intimate that the amount originally allotted to this Court under the head "Contingencies for 1884-85 was Rs 1,200/- but on a reference from this Court, the Government in their Proceedings no. 5791-2/120 dated 22nd January 1885, raised the grant from Rupees 1,200 to 1,500. In the following year (1885-86) an entry of Rs 2000/- was made against "Contingencies" in the Budget Estimate sent to the Comptroller, making provision for payment of batta to witnesses in Session cases, which were then tried by this Court. This entry of increased allotment of Rs 2,000/- was continued in the General Budget Estimates forwarded to the Comptroller upto 1891-92. In 1892-93, the allotment was raised to Rs 25.00/- for supplying Indian

SNo. 2 in Retd file of 97 SNo. 327 of 92-93

Law-

14

-aw Reports and Indian gurist to the Lower Courts,
and for English Law Reports for the use of this Court-
wde Correspondence ending with this office no. 5337/142 dated 13th November 1891, to the Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. Accordingly, this provision
to Rs 25,00/- was made in the Budget Estimate sent to
the Comptroller for 1892-93, and has been Continued
upto date.

SW No. 10 in J7 No. 1 0793-94

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
Registrar.

Ex

SUBJECT. Courts

FILE No. 96-97 (29)

SERIAL No. 6

No 61
D.C
dt 24-12-97

To
The Registrar of the Chief Court
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No 3070/81 dated the 12th ultimo on the subject of effecting a ~~slight~~ reduction ~~of Rs. 350~~ in the Contingent allowance of the Chief Court to meet the additional cost under this head necessitated by the transfer of the Jail work from your office to that of the Senior Surgeon, I am directed to draw your attention to your communications No 5183 dt 28-12-95 dated the 9th May 1895 in which it was proposed to reduce the Contingent allowance of the Court from Rs. 2500 to Rs. 2000 per annum on the ground that the average expenditure of the past five years did not exceed Rs. 2000.

16

2 In this connection, I am also directed to draw your attention to the fact that the Contingent allowance up to 1884-85 was only Rs. 1200 and that an increase of Rs 300 was temporarily allowed, for reasons stated in ~~your~~ letter of the Chief Judge No 3601/144 dated the 16th January 1885. If, as stated by you, the allowance was raised from Rs. 1500 to 2000 to provide for the payment of batta to witnesses in Sessions Cases, the need for this additional provision ceased with the re-establishment of the Nundydroog Division Dist. and Sessions Court in Sept. 1890. Again if, as stated, the allotment was further raised to Rs. 2500 for supplying Indian Law Reports and Indian Jurist to the Lower Courts and for English Law Reports for the use of "the Chief Court," the correspondence referred to by you and the order of Government No 10623-4/114 quoted by you) show are required to that the lower Courts pay for the

Law reports supplied to them from their own contingencies; and according to the Statement received with your letter No. 1967/47 dated the 2nd September last the amounts spent in the purchase of Law Reports for the Court in 1895-96 and 1896-97 were only Rs. 150 and 213 respectively.

Under these circumstances I am desired to request the favour of the question of effecting a ~~slight~~ reduction of ~~Rs. 350~~ in the Contingent allowance of the Court in order to meet the additional cost referred to being reconsidered

I have &c.

24. 12 97)

Secretary

9947

Gen. Serial No. 31. OFF. 6235

1897/98 21-1-98

OF MYS

From The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

To (30)

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19 Jany/98

Despatched 21/1/98

Received 24/1/98

Enclosures—No.

General No. 4161

No. 121

States that the Chief Judge considers that ordinarily a contingent allowance of Rs 2000/- may be sufficient to meet the expenses of this Court and that special sanction of Govt will be applied for to meet unusual expenditure

Jl

J.F No. 327 of 1892-93 put up. 21-1-98

RR

24-1-98.

No 4461/121

From ... Court — Bangalore 19th January 1898

From ... No. ... of 96-97

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

30

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 61/DC (X) of the 24th ultimo, requesting under the circumstances therein stated, a reconsideration of the question of effecting a reduction in the Contingent allowance of this Court, in order to meet the additional cost under this head necessitated by the transfer of the jail work from this Court to that of the Senior Surgeon.

(X) SNo. 29.

2. In reply, I am to state that the Chief Judge considers that ordinarily a Contingent allowance of Rs 2,000 may be sufficient to meet the expenses of this Court, and that if in any year this amount is found insufficient, special sanction of Government will be applied for to meet increased expenditure.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most Obedient Servant,

S Nyatatrachmurti
Registrar

MG

20

No. 90481-50 / 1020 dt 3-2-98.

Court

Ex. 4896-97

8

(31)

Read again Progs No. 12937-39 dt 4-6-98 / J. 1403 directing that a provision of Rs 350 should be made in the ~~annual~~ budget on account of the contingencies of the Office of the Inspector General of Prisons; and enquiring whether a corresponding correction is feasible in the contingent allce of the Chief Court.

Read also Correspondence ending with Letter No. 416/21 dt 9-1-98 from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Lyons stating that the Chief Judge considers that ordinarily a contingent allce of Rs 2000 might be sufficient to meet the expenses of that Court and that if, in any year this amount is found insufficient, special sanction of Govt will be applied for to meet increased expenditure.

Unless to be [illegible] & then to the Offg Secretary

26-1-98.

26/1/98 — 28/1/98

Submitted to Chief Secretary — 31/1/1998

8358

I w'd omit the words "in the original form 15/7/99 Take [illegible] —

31/1/98

Order thereon. The contingent allce of the Chief Court will be reduced from Rs 2 570 to Rs 2000, as the latter sum is now stated to be sufficient for ordinary years.

6-1-98.

26/1/98

Secy

To Hon Reger The Chief Secretary of Lyons
The Controller.
The Dir of Prisons.

26/1/98